

Cash Handling and Income Management City of York Council Internal Audit Report 2013/14

Business Unit: Customer & Business Support Services, Responsible Officer: Director of Customer and Business Support Services Service Manager: Head of Financial Procedures Date Issued: 8 August 2014 Status: Final Reference: 10330/005.bf

| | P3 | P2 | P1 |
|-----------------------|-----------------------|----|----|
| Findings | 2 | 2 | 0 |
| Overall Audit Opinion | Substantial Assurance | | |



Summary and Overall Conclusions

Introduction

Prior to the office moves during 2012/13, the Transactional Services section within the directorate of Customer and Business Support Services was responsible for the processing of all council income including income from other departments, telephone payments, internet payments, payments taken via the Customer Contact Centre and directly from Customers at No. 9 St Leonard's Place. On an annual basis the Transactional Services section processed up to 450,000 transactions with a total value of approximately £920m. Previous audits have concentrated on arrangements at No. 9 St. Leonard's Place and the cashiers back office.

Following the move to the West Offices, services are now responsible for banking their own income. Therefore this audit included detailed testing of a sample of service areas currently receiving cash income.

Objectives and Scope of the Audit

The purpose of the audit was to provide assurance to management that procedures and controls in operation across the council ensure that:

- Systems comply with the council's financial regulations, relevant legislation and other appropriate guidance.
- Cash is protected from loss and theft; from receipt through to banking.
- All income is accurately processed, banked and accounted for.
- Appropriate records are maintained to support all transactions.

Key Findings

The key findings for individual services are summarised below. Actions will be discussed and agreed with services individually.

Overall Conclusions

It was found that the arrangements for managing risk were good with few weaknesses identified. An effective control environment is in operation, but there is scope for further improvement in the areas identified. Our overall opinion of the controls within the system at the time of the audit was that they provided Substantial Assurance



Summary of Individual Findings

| Service area | Finding | Priority | Action | Responsible officer | Implementation Date |
|-----------------------------|---|----------|--|---|------------------------|
| Arts/Performing Arts | Records are not kept of XXXXXXXX XXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXX | 3 | A record of XXXXXXXXX will be kept for reconciliation purposes | Arts Education Administrator | 31 August 2014 |
| Arts/Performing Arts | XXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXX | 2 | Cash will be counted and the amounts of cash received signed off by two people at the time of collection before it is stored in preparation for banking | Arts Education Administrator | 31 August 2014 |
| Customer Centre | Income collected is cashed up the following day. Over night it is stored in the safe without being counted. | 2 | Cash will be counted before being put into the safe for storage overnight | Customer Services Team Manager | 31 August 2014 |
| Sport and Active Leisure | Receipts are not issued for cash transactions where XXXXXXXXXX XXXXXXXXXX | 3 | Receipts will be used for all cash income collected unless a waiver from financial regulations is obtained | Everybody Active, Targeted Programme Coordinator | 31 August 2014 |



Annex 1

Audit Opinions and Priorities for Actions

Audit Opinions

Audit work is based on sampling transactions to test the operation of systems. It cannot guarantee the elimination of fraud or error. Our opinion is based on the risks we identify at the time of the audit.

Our overall audit opinion is based on 5 grades of opinion, as set out below.

| Opinion | Assessment of internal control | |
|--------------------------|--|--|
| High Assurance | Overall, very good management of risk. An effective control environment appears to be in operation. | |
| Substantial Assurance | Overall, good management of risk with few weaknesses identified. An effective control environment is in operation but there is scope for further improvement in the areas identified. | |
| Moderate assurance | Overall, satisfactory management of risk with a number of weaknesses identified. An acceptable control environment is in operation but there are a number of improvements that could be made. | |
| Limited Assurance | Overall, poor management of risk with significant control weaknesses in key areas and major improvements required before an effective control environment will be in operation. | |
| No Assurance | urance Overall, there is a fundamental failure in control and risks are not being effectively managed. A number of key areas require substantial improvement to protect the system from error and abuse. | |

| Priorities for Actions | | |
|------------------------|--|--|
| Priority 1 | A fundamental system weakness, which presents unacceptable risk to the system objectives and requires urgent attention by management. | |
| Priority 2 | A significant system weakness, whose impact or frequency presents risks to the system objectives, which needs to be addressed by management. | |
| Priority 3 | The system objectives are not exposed to significant risk, but the issue merits attention by management. | |

